2013 National Winter Canola Variety Trial

Senior Authors

Michael Stamm and Scott Dooley Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan,

Other Contributors

Gary Cramer, Kansas State University, Hutchinson Randall Nelson, Kansas State University, Belleville

Cooperating Producers

Brent Gruenbacher, Andale Mike Patry, Andale

Objectives

The objectives of the National Winter Canola Variety Trial (NWCVT) are to evaluate the performance of released and experimental varieties, determine where these varieties are best adapted, and increase visibility of winter canola across the nation. Breeders, marketers, and producers use data collected from the trials. In the past decade, the number of environments and entries tested have increased. The NWCVT is planted at locations in the Great Plains, Midwest, northern U.S., and Southeast.

Procedures

Seed for the NWCVT was distributed to 40 cooperators in 22 states for the 2012-2013 growing season. Of the 50 entries, 24 are commercially available and 26 are experimental. These entries were provided by 11 global seed suppliers. All entries in the trial were treated with either Helix XTra or Prosper FX seed treatments to control insects and diseases through the late fall and early winter months.

All trials were planted in small research plots (150 ft²) with three replications. Cultural practices, site descriptions, growing conditions, and performance data are provided for each harvested location. Yield results for some locations include 2-year summaries. Results are listed alphabetically by seed supplier.

2012-2013 Growing Conditions

Temperature and precipitation data are shown at the top of the page for each location. Thick black lines on the temperature graphs represent long-term average high and low temperatures (°F) for the location. The upper thin line represents actual daily high temperatures, and the lower thin line represents actual daily low temperatures. On the precipitation graph, the line labeled "normal" represents long-term average precipitation, and the line labeled "12-13" represents actual precipitation. If weather data were not provided, they were taken from a nearby town.

In general, the 2012-2013 growing season saw above-normal temperatures and normal to below-normal precipitation. Several rounds of late spring freezes reduced plant height and delayed the crop at some locations. A cooler than normal May provided ideal conditions for grain fill and resulted in high test weights.

Test Sites and Results

Of the seven NWCVT sites planted in Kansas, four were harvested. Three trials are included in this report: Andale, Belleville, and Hutchinson. Garden City was harvested but suffered winterkill, multiple late spring freezes, and severe hail. Kiowa did not establish because of dry soils last fall. Manhattan was not harvested because of variability in plant stands. Yield potential was reduced at Marquette because of an early fall freeze and a slow warm up in the spring.

The "percentage of test average" yield calculation is included in this year's results. This relative yield calculation allows for some comparison of performance across environments. Entries yielding more than 100 percent of the test average across multiple locations merit some consideration.

Overall, yields were above average where moisture was not limited at planting. All sites averaged over 2,000 lb/acre. Belleville's twoyear average is 3,500 lb/acre. Hutchinson recovered extremely well from the late spring freezes. Canola weighs 50 lb/bushel, so a 2,000 lb/acre yield is 40 bushels/acre.

Variety Selection

Winter hardiness is an important trait to consider when selecting a winter canola variety. This trait has been improved over the past several years, but variability still exists where differential winterkill occurs. Winter canola varieties should show consistent survival across multiple years and locations. Other traits to consider include herbicide resistance, tolerance to carryover from sulfonylurea herbicides, maturity, disease tolerance, and yield potential. Use more than one year of data to make an informed variety selection decision.

Some sites include High Erucic Acid Rapeseed (HEAR). By definition, HEAR is not canola because it produces greater than 2% erucic acid in the processed oil. The harvested seed cannot be mixed with canola seed, and the oil can be used for industrial purposes only. If HEAR is commercially grown, it will be under contract and a delivery point must be identified before planting. View Table 1 for seed sources, brand names, and traits of the winter canola varieties and hybrids grown in the NWCVT.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded in part by the Supplemental and Alternative Crops Competitive Grants Program, which is administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture-National Institute of Food and Agriculture, and the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station. Assistant scientist Scott Dooley and student workers Emma Gantz, Jessica Martin, and Baylee Showalter assisted organizing, packaging, with planting, harvesting, and data collection. Sincere appreciation is expressed to all participating researchers and seed suppliers who have a vested interest in expanding winter canola acres and increasing production in the USA.

Table 1. Seed sources for entries in the 2012-2013 National Winter Canola Variety Trial

Developer /			Release		Developer /			Release	
Marketer	Type ¹	Trait ^{2,3}	Date	Maturity ⁴	Marketer	Type ¹	Trait ^{2,3}	Date	Maturit
Kansas State l	Jniversity Ca	nola Breed	ing Progra	m	DuPont Pionee	ər			
Michael J. Starr	nm (mjstamm(@ksu.edu)			William McClure	e (william.m	cclure@pior	neer.com)	
KS4428	OP			М	46W94	Hyb	RR	2011	М
KS4475	OP			Μ	46W99	Hyb	RR	2011	Μ
KSUR21	OP	SU		F	PT211	Hyb			Μ
KSR07363	OP	RR		Μ	X10W443C	Hyb			Μ
Riley	OP		2010	М	X10W665C	Hyb	SD		F
Sumner	OP	SU	2003	E	X12W377C	Hyb	SD		F
Wichita	OP		1999	М					
					Syngenta				
DL Seeds Inc.	(Developer)				Patrick.Carruth	ers@SYNG	ENTA.COM		
Kevin McCallun	n (kevin.mcca	llum@dlsee	ds.ca)						
Rubisco Seeds	s LLC (Marke	ter)	,		NK PETROL	Hvb			М
Claire Caldbeck	(info@rubisc	oseeds.com	1)		NK Technic	Hvb			М
	()		,		Gladius	Hvb			М
Baldur	Hyb		2004	Μ	SY Regata	Hyb			E
Dimension	Hyb		2008	E					
Dynastie	Hyb		2007	F					
Edimax	Hyb	CL	2012	Μ	CROPLAN by	WinField			
Flash	Hyb		2007	F	Mark Torno (Mt	orno@lando	olakes.com)		
Hornet	Hyb		2008	М	,	-	,		

HyClass 115W	OP	RR/SURT	2008	Е
HyClass 125W	OP	RR/SURT	2010	М

Virginia State University Agricultural Experiment Station Dr. Harbans Bhardwaj (hbhardwj@vsu.edu)

Virginia	OP	 2003	М
VSX-3	OP	 	Μ

Technology Crops International

Jeff Riddle (jriddle@techcrops.com)

Rossini	Н	HEAR	2009	Е
TCI16	Н	HEAR		E
TCI17	Н	HEAR		М
TCI/F13	Н			М

¹OP = open pollinated, Hyb = hybrid

²SU & SURT = sulfonylurea carryover tolerant; CL = Clearfield (imidazolinone resistant); IMI = imidazolinone carryover tolerant; RR = Roundup Ready; SD = semi dwarf

³HEAR = High Erucic Acid Rapeseed. Contains greater than 2% erucic acid in the processed oil. Can be used only for industrial purposes. HEAR is not canola.

⁴E = Early; M = Medium; F = Full

Baldur	Hyb		2004	Μ
Dimension	Hyb		2008	E
Dynastie	Hyb		2007	F
Edimax	Hyb	CL	2012	Μ
Flash	Hyb		2007	F
Hornet	Hyb		2008	М
Inspiration	Hyb			Μ
NPZ 1005	Hyb			М
Rumba	Hyb			Μ
Safran	Hyb		2008	М
Sitro	Hyb		2007	Μ
Visby	Hyb		2008	Μ

High Plains Crop Development

Dr. Charlie Rife (charlie@highplainscd.com)

Claremore	OP	IMI	2011	F
HPX-7228	OP			Е
HPX-7341	OP			Μ

MOMONT, France

Dr. Thierry Momont (tmomont@momont.com)

Chrome	Hyb	 2010	М
MH07J14	Hyb	 	Μ
MH09E3	Hyb	 	E
MH09H19	Hyb	 	Μ

Monsanto / DEKALB

James Bosch (james.c.bosch@monsanto.com)

DKW41-10	OP	RR	2008	Е
DKW44-10	OP	RR	2009	М
DKW46-15	OP	RR/SURT	2008	М
DKW47-15	OP	RR/SURT	2008	F

Andale, Kansas

Brent Gruenbacher and Mike Patry

Planted: Swathed:	9/18/2012 at 5 lb/a in 9-in. rows 6/13/2013
Harvested:	6/22/2012
Irrigation:	None
Soil Test:	NA
Soil Type:	Blanket silt loam
Elevation:	1393 ft Latitude: 37° 47'N
Comments:	Spotty stands caused by fallow sorghum residue. The canola compensated well and produced exceptional yields.



Table 2. Results for the 2013 National Winter Canola Variety Trial at Andale, KS

				Yield (% of				Fall	Plant		Test	
Name		Yield (lb	o/a)	test avg.)	Win	ter survi	val (%)	stand	height	Moisture	weight	Oil
	2013	2012	2-yr.	2013	2013	2012	2-yr.	(0-10)	(in.)	(%)	(lb/bu)	(%)
CROPLAN by W	inField											
HyCLASS115W	2892			99				7	49	8.7	50.6	
HyCLASS125W	2614			90				6	51	8.8	47.2	
DL Seeds Inc. / F	Rubisco	Seeds L	LLC									
Baldur	2950			101				6	49	9.7	50.3	
Dimension	3078			105				5	47	8.6	48.8	
Dynastie	2858			98				5	53	9.5	46.6	
Flash	2730			94				6	55	9.1	48.3	
Hornet	2416			83				6	51	8.8	49.9	
Safran	2799			96				6	53	8.9	48.8	
Sitro	3032			104				7	52	8.6	49.0	
Visby	2834			97				5	46	9.0	50.9	
DuPont Pioneer												
46W94	3148			108				7	51	8.5	48.8	
46W99	2950			101				5	49	8.7	48.3	
Kansas State Un	iversity	1										
Riley	2823			97				6	52	8.3	47.5	
Sumner	2590			89				6	51	8.2	48.5	
Wichita	3067			105				6	51	8.9	50.2	
MOMONT												
CHROME	3380			116				4	51	8.8	51.4	
Monsanto / DEK	ALB											
DKW41-10	2590			89				8	46	8.3	51.9	
DKW44-10	2823			97				7	49	8.4	48.8	
DKW46-15	2776			95				6	47	8.0	48.1	
DKW47-15	2544			87				5	51	10.1	48.5	
Syngenta												
Gladius	3287			113				6	51	8.9	50.6	
NK PETROL	3218			110				5	50	9.4	49.6	
NK Technic	3438			118				7	47	8.4	49.4	
SY Regata	3194			109				5	49	9.0	48.2	
Mean	2918							6	50	8.8	49.2	
CV	10							21	9	5.9	4.6	
LSD (0.05)	473							2	NS	0.9	NS	

Bold: Superior LSD group. Unless two entries differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Belleville, Kansas

Randall Nelson Kansas State University

Planted:	9/6/2012 at 5 lb	o/a in 9-in. rows
Swathed:	6/26/2013	
Harvested:	7/2/2013	
Irrigation:	None	
Soil Test:	NA	
Soil Type:	Crete silt loam	
Elevation:	1530 ft	Latitude: 39° 48'N
Comments:	Ideal weather a excellent yields from late freeze	at seed fill resulted in a. No negative effects as.



Table 3. Results for the 2013 National Winter Canola Variety Trial at Belleville, KS

				Yield (% of				Fall		Plant		
Name		Yield (It	o/a)	test avg.)	Win	ter survi	val (%)	stand	Vigor	height	Moisture	Oil
	2013	2012	2-yr.	2013	2013	2012	2-yr.	(0-10)	(1-5)	(in.)	(%)	(%)
Bayer CropScien	се											
RG29101	2985			101				8	4	47	6.9	
RG29102	2985			101				8	3	47	7.0	
CROPLAN by Wi	nField											
HyClass 115W	2509	3552	3031	85				8	3	47	7.0	
HyClass 125W	2939	3725	3332	99				9	3	49	6.6	
DL Seeds Inc. / R	ubisco	Seeds I	LLC									
Baldur	3160	3689	3424	107				8	3	47	7.1	
Dimension	3090			104				7	3	49	7.3	
Dynastie	3043	4328	3686	103				6	3	51	7.1	
Edimax	2892			98				8	4	52	6.8	
Flash	2904	3765	3334	98				8	4	51	7.2	
Hornet	2811	3804	3308	95				7	4	47	7.0	
Inspiration	3020			102				8	5	50	6.9	
NPZ 1005	3403	4846	4125	115				9	5	49	7.1	
Rumba	3090	4382	3736	104				9	5	45	7.1	
Safran	3078	4392	3735	104				5	3	51	6.9	
Sitro	2985	3892	3439	101				5	4	46	7.0	
Visby	3136	4174	3655	106				8	3	47	7.2	
DuPont Pioneer												
46W94	3113	4249	3681	105				8	3	46	7.0	
46W99	2881	3851	3366	97				6	3	45	7.3	
PT211	3194			108				9	5	47	7.1	
X10W443C	3659			124				9	3	47	7.2	
X10W665C	3299			112				9	4	47	7.1	
X12W377C	3276			111				5	3	46	7.1	
High Plains Crop	Develo	pment										
Claremore	2707	3040	2873	92				9	3	50	7.2	
HPX-7228	2916	3768	3342	99				7	4	45	6.8	
HPX-7341	2753	3910	3331	93				8	4	50	7.0	
Kansas State Uni	iversity											
KS4428	2497	4029	3263	84				2	2	49	7.3	
KS4476	2916			99				7	2	53	7.6	
KSR07363	2788			94				7	2	45	6.9	
KSUR21	2799			95				6	2	53	7.4	
Riley	2974	4310	3642	101				8	3	51	7.2	
Sumner	2451	4063	3257	83				7	2	47	7.3	
Wichita	2753	3470	3112	93				8	2	47	6.9	

Table 3 continued.	Results for the	2013 National W	inter Canola Variet	v Trial at Belleville. KS
		To to transition of the		

				Yield (% of				Fall		Plant		
Name		Yield (lb	o/a)	test avg.) Winter survival (%)			val (%)	stand	Vigor	height	Moisture	Oil
	2013	2012	2-yr.	2013	2013	2012	2-yr.	(0-10)	(1-5)	(in.)	(%)	(%)
MOMONT												
CHROME	3543	4663	4103	120				8	3	47	7.2	
MH07J14	3113	4767	3940	105				8	4	49	7.2	
MH09E3	3183			108				8	3	43	6.8	
MH09H19	2939	4719	3829	99				7	4	47	7.6	
Monsanto / DEK	ALB											
DKW41-10	2219	3332	2775	75				9	3	39	6.1	
DKW44-10	2869	4296	3583	97				9	3	47	7.0	
DKW46-15	2346	3650	2998	79				8	3	46	6.2	
DKW47-15	2463	3923	3193	83				9	3	49	6.8	
Syngenta												
Gladius	3148			106				8	3	47	7.1	
NK Technic	3345			113				8	4	51	7.3	
NK_PETROL	3264			110				8	4	51	7.2	
SY Regata	3287			111				7	4	49	7.3	
Technology Cro	ps Inter	national										
Rossini	2765	4306	3535	93				8	4	42	6.7	
TCI/F13	2834			96				9	5	49	7.1	
TCI16	2974			101				9	5	47	7.2	
TCI17	3090			104				8	4	51	7.1	
Virginia State Ur	niversity	,										
Virginia	2869	3948	3409	97				9	3	46	7.2	
VSX-3	2625	4228	3426	89				9	4	46	7.0	
Mean	2958	3978	3468					8	3	48	7.1	
CV	8	11	10					11	22	8	5.3	
LSD (0.05)	384	735	560					1	1	6	0.6	

Bold: Superior LSD group. Unless two entries differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

Hutchinson, Kansas

Gary Cramer Kansas State University

Planted: Swathed: Harvested: Irrigation: Previous Crop: Soil Test: Soil Type: Elevation: Comments:	9/17/2012 at 5 lb. 6/14/2013 6/20/2013 None Wheat NA Funmar-Taver los 1570 ft L The canola response	/a in 9-in. rows am .atitude: 37° 57'N onded favorably after				
Comments:	The canola responded favorably after the late spring freezes. Ideal weather at seed fill resulted in very good yields.					



Table 4. Results for the 2013 National Winter Canola Variety Trial at Hutchinson, KS

				Yield (% of				Fall		Test		
Name		Yield (lb	/a)	test avg.)	Win	ter survi	val (%)	stand	Moisture	weight	Protein	Oil
	2013	2012	2-yr.	2013	2013	2012	2-yr.	(0-10)	(%)	(lb/bu)	(%)	(%)
Bayer CropScier	nce											
RG29101	2063			97				8	11.8			
RG29102	2162			102				8	10.2			
CROPLAN by W	inField											
HyClass 115W	1889			89				8	10.5			
HyClass 125W	1723			81				7	10.7			
DL Seeds Inc. / F	Rubisco	Seeds L	LC									
Baldur	2172			103				6	11.3			
Dimension	2138			101				5	10.7			
Dynastie	2067			98				5	11.7			
Edimax	1882			89				7	11.0			
Flash	1688			80				8	11.9			
Hornet	2125			100				6	11.2			
Inspiration	2085			98				7	10.9			
NPZ 1005	2381			112				7	9.5			
Rumba	1863			88				8	10.7			
Safran	2179			103				4	11.4			
Sitro	1749			83				6	10.5			
Visby	2079			98				6	9.2			
DuPont Pioneer												
46W94	2201			104				7	10.5			
46W99	2114			100				4	10.2			
PT211	2395			113				7	9.5			
X10W443C	2765			131				8	11.1			
X10W665C	3260			154				8	11.1			
X12W377C	2556			121				5	10.6			
High Plains Crop	p Develo	opment										
Claremore	1850			87				8	10.9			
HPX-7228	1874			88				6	9.7			
HPX-7341	1826			86				8	9.1			
Kansas State Un	niversity											
KS4428	1902			90				2	11.7			
KS4476	2308			109				6	11.4			
KSR07363	1885			89				7	9.6			
KSUR21	1852			87				3	11.2			
Riley	2035			96				8	10.0			
Sumner	1677			79				7	10.5			
Wichita	1784			84				7	10.8			

-rable + continued, results for the zoro national white output valiety that at nation, re-
--

				Yield (% of		-		Fall		Test		
Name		Yield (lb	test avg.)	test avg.) Winter survival (%)			stand	Moisture	weight	Protein	Oil	
	2013	2012	2-yr.	2013	2013	2012	2-yr.	(0-10)	(%)	(lb/bu)	(%)	(%)
MOMONT												
CHROME	2807			133				7	11.0			
MH07J14	2543			120				8	11.5			
MH09E3	2653			125				7	11.3			
MH09H19	2151			102				8	10.2			
Monsanto / DEI	KALB											
DKW41-10	1462			69				8	9.8			
DKW44-10	1877			89				8	10.2			
DKW46-15	1653			78				8	9.9			
DKW47-15	1756			83				7	10.2			
Syngenta												
Gladius	2322			110				7	9.9			
NK PETROL	2523			119				6	10.5			
NK Technic	2729			129				7	10.7			
SY Regata	2378			112				5	9.6			
Technology Cro	ops Inter	national										
Rossini	1755			83				7	9.6			
TCI/F13	1910			90				8	10.8			
TCI16	1963			93				7	10.7			
TCI17	2115			100				8	11.6			
Virginia State U	Iniversity	1										
Virginia	2593			122				8	10.9			
VSX-3	2183			103				8	10.8			
Mean	2118							7	10.6			
CV	14							12	8.0			
LSD (0.05)	482							1	1.4			

Bold: Superior LSD group. Unless two entries differ by more than the LSD, little confidence can be placed in one being superior to the other.

To access crop performance testing information electronically, visit our website. The information contained in this publication, plus more, is available for viewing or downloading at:

www.agronomy.ksu.edu/kscpt

Excerpts from the

University Research Policy Agreement with Cooperating Seed Companies

Permission is hereby given to Kansas State University (KSU) to test varieties and/or hybrids designated on the attached entry forms in the manner indicated in the test announcements. I certify that seed submitted for testing is a true sample of the seed being offered for sale.

I understand that all results from Kansas Crop Performance Tests belong to the University and the public and shall be controlled by the University so as to produce the greatest benefit to the public. Performance data may be used in the following ways: 1) Tables may be reproduced in their entirety provided the source is referenced and data are not manipulated or reinterpreted; 2) Advertising statements by an individual company about the performance of its entries may be made as long as they are accurate statements about the data as published, with no reference to other companies' names or cultivars. In both cases, the following must be included with the reprint or ad citing the appropriate publication number and title: "See the official Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service Report of Progress 1090, '2013 Kansas Performance Tests with Winter Wheat Varieties,' or the Kansas Crop Performance Test website, *www.agronomy.ksu.edu/kscpt*, for details. Endorsement or recommendation by Kansas State University is not implied."

Contributors

Main Station, Manhattan

Jane Lingenfelser, Assistant Agronomist (Senior Author) Bill Bockus, Plant Pathologist Erick DeWolf, Extension Plant Pathologist Allan Fritz, Wheat Breeder Mary Knapp, Weather Data Librarian Jeff Whitworth, Extension Entomologist

Experiment Fields

Eric Adee, Ottawa Gary Cramer, Hutchinson James Kimball, Ottawa Randall Nelson, Scandia

Research Centers

Patrick Evans, Colby Kelly Kusel, Parsons Alan Schlegel, Tribune Clayton Seaman, Hays Monty Spangler, Garden City Gurong Zhang, Hays

Others

Ming Chen, USDA Richard Chen, Laura McLaughlin, USDA Justin Knopf, Gypsum, KS Rebecca Miller, Grain Science and Industry Jim Shroyer, Agronomy

Copyright 2013 Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service. Contents of this publicaiton may be freely reproduced for educational purposes. All other rights reserved. In each case, give credit to the author(s), 2013 Kansas Performance Tests with Winter Wheat Varieties, Kansas State University, July 2013. Contribution no. 14-019-S from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station.

Brand names appearing in this publication are for product identification purposes only. No endorsement is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products not mentioned.

Publications from Kansas State University are available at: www.ksre.ksu.edu

Kansas State University Agricultural Experiment Station and Cooperative Extension Service